PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF ESG AND INVESTMENT STRATEGY





Tom Duncan
Head of Research and
Investment Strategy
Cromwell Property Group

Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) is a high priority for real estate investors. Affording significant weight to ESG within investment decisions is prudent regardless of whether an investor has altruistic or financial motivations given that ESG-based strategies deliver superior long-term capital protection, creation and growth.

Ensuring assets are energy efficient and adaptable without extensive refurbishment, for example, improves environmental outcomes as well as occupier appeal through lower energy costs/branding benefits and enhances landlord optionality. This will be reflected in higher rents and lower yields. Safeguarding social inclusivity increases commercial asset value and aids its social contribution. Communicating and collaborating with councils, landlords and local communities both promotes better governance and ensures assets are more ingrained in its micro-location and is accretive to long-term value.







Social

Governance

ESG and financial performance are thus inseparable. Therefore, ESG considerations should permeate every facet of investment strategy from macro-sector allocations through asset selection and management to occupier profile. What this means in practice varies by investor but there are three general tenets that can be applied.

Inclusivity

The first tenet is that ESG strategies should be inclusive at all levels. The UN Principles for Responsible Investment (UNPRI) were created to guide institutional investors in developing a more sustainable global financial system. Interpreting this guidance, it is unequivocal that it is not the role of real estate investors to deprive any business or sector with access to the modern, well-specified floorspace they require to operate efficiently.

This means that all investable options should still be on the table for ESG-focused investors, including negatively perceived occupiers such as fossil fuel companies or power-hungry data centre operators. Indeed excluding such occupiers may worsen ESG outcomes if they are forced to occupy poorer quality buildings, inferior locations or their higher cost base limits the amount they can spend on creating greener or more socially responsible company operations or processes.

The second tenet is that to make a meaningful impact, investors must enact positive change.

That being said, investors can still influence behaviour. They can disproportionally favour occupiers with higher ESG credentials such as certified B Corporations (B Corps) which meet high standards of social and environmental performance, transparency, and accountability. They can make space available to groups that are unable to pay market rents, but which still require space, such as community/voluntary organisations, social enterprises or charities.

Value-added favouritism

The second tenet is that to make a meaningful impact, investors must enact positive change. That means focusing on valued-added strategies and not leaving it to the next owner to make improvements. ESG strategies should not just be about targeting the assets which already perform well. Quite the opposite in fact – true impact investing should seek out the weakest performers and improve them.

ESG outcomes are maximised when the least operationally efficient and most wasteful assets are enhanced. Such assets have the greatest potential to reduce existing externalities and generate higher-value outcomes. Reconstituting a weak or failing asset into a high functioning, ESG-enabled asset delivers genuine positive change. Valued-added strategies which commit to actioning improvement works and revitalising the poorest assets will have the greatest impact.

Development hierarchy

The third tenet is to apply a development hierarchy. Embodied carbon means that reusing existing buildings is always the most environmentally sound approach towards accommodating occupier demand. From a targeting perspective, this means that investors should acquire and create assets which are flexible and adaptable, allowing their use to evolve over time without heavy refurbishment or redevelopment.



While reuse is best, refurbishment and new construction with alternative/recycled materials will still be needed to fulfil changing occupier demand and facilitate growth. Indeed it is estimated that two billion square metres of new building stock will be needed globally every year to 2025 to meet demand. That is almost double the current amount of retail, office, and industrial space in Europe according to data provider Real Capital Analytics (RCA).

ESG-focused investors should not preclude new development. Far from it, to make a meaningful impact they should support new development where it is needed using net zero or carbon negative construction processes on brownfield land. Doing so will lower aggregate construction-related emissions and land use requirements.

1 Timber Buildings: Truly Sustainable Real Estate, Q2 2022, Cromwell Property Group

Figure 1: Elevating the environment: Adaptive reuse hierarchy



Reuse

- Maximum emission savings
- Most resource-efficient



Adapt Refurbish

- Retain core
- Avoid comprehensive demolition
- Recycle waste
- Recycle existing materials where possible
- Sustainably source new materials
- Create dense & adaptable space



Redevelop existing:

Brownfield Land

- Repurpose previously developed land
- Create dense & adaptable space
- Recycle existing materials where possible
- Use sustainable materials like timber
- Target net carbon negative



Redevelop new:

Greenfield Land

- Last resort
- Create dense & adaptable space
- Recycle existing materials where possible
- Use sustainable materials like timber
- Offset land use impacts
- Target net carbon negative



Source: Cromwell Property Group

Strategies which utilise modern construction techniques combined with sustainably locally sourced materials like timber can remove more carbon from the atmosphere than they create i.e. they are net carbon positive. Construction costs are lower and rental premiums equivalent of up to 9% are achievable for timber buildings, according to our research. Timber is not the only solution. Innovative research is underway into other materials like 'green' and graphene-enhanced concrete. However timber represents the most readily available, proven sustainable material which can be used today. It represents the best current option.

To conserve land, priority should be given to brown over greenfield sites. As the green agenda takes hold it will become harder to secure planning consent for greenfield sites. Adopting a brownfield-first strategy therefore also mitigates future planning and development risk alongside promoting the efficient use of land. Where greenfield

development is unavoidable, offsets can be sought elsewhere within the portfolio to mitigate environmental impacts by, for example, greening roofs, planting trees or enlarging public spaces to support biodiversity. Density should be encouraged to maximise the amount of accommodatable occupier demand. To broaden social opportunity, local businesses should be incorporated into the development tendering process.

In summary, investors should target assets with an ESG-angle for sound financial performance and positive environmental, social and governance outcomes. A practice strategy to do this can be built on three tenets: inclusivity; value-added favouritism; and the adoption of a development hierarchy. Investors pursuing this approaching should harness the greatest meaningful positive ESG impacts and the most attractive risk-adjusted returns.